arXiv:math/0603688v2 [math.RA] 14 Aug 2006

INVERTIBILITY OF MATRICES OVER SUBRINGS

MARK GRINSHPON

Abstract. Given rings $R \subseteq S$, consider the division closure $\mathcal{D}(R,S)$ and the rational closure $\mathcal{R}(R,S)$ of R in S. If S is commutative, then $\mathcal{D}(R,S) = \mathcal{R}(R,S) = RT^{-1}$, where $T = \{t \in R \mid t^{-1} \in S\}$. We show that this is also true if we assume only that R is commutative.

Introduction

Let $R \subseteq S$ be rings. The division closure $\mathcal{D}(R,S)$ of R in S is the smallest subring D of S containing R with the property: if $d \in D$, $d^{-1} \in S$, then $d^{-1} \in D$. The rational closure $\mathcal{R}(R,S)$ of R in S is the smallest subring D of S containing R with the property: if A is a matrix over R invertible over S, then A^{-1} has all entries in D.

An alternative, and more convenient for our purposes, description of the rational closure is given by $\mathcal{R}(R,S) = \big\{t \in S : t \text{ appears in } A^{-1} \text{ for some matrix } A \text{ over } R\big\}$. It follows from Proposition 7.1.1 and Theorem 7.1.2 in [2] that the set thus defined is in fact a ring; and by Proposition 3.3 in [3], this is equivalent to the definition above.

If S is commutative, then

$$\mathcal{D}(R,S) = \mathcal{R}(R,S) = RT^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where $T = \{t \in R \mid t^{-1} \in S\}$ and $RT^{-1} = \{rt^{-1} \mid r \in R, t \in T\}$, due to the standard formula for matrix inverses, viz.

$$A^{-1} = (\det A)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{adj} A = \operatorname{adj} A \cdot (\det A)^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Note that in general $RT^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(R,S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(R,S)$, and both inclusions can be proper. But if formula (2) holds for all invertible matrices (as is the case for commutative rings), then $\det A \in T$ and every entry of A^{-1} is in RT^{-1}; therefore $\mathcal{R}(R,S) \subseteq RT^{-1}$, proving (1).

Is (1) still true if we assume only that R is commutative? In view of the above argument, this question can be rephrased as follows: for $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$, an $n \times n$ matrix over R, invertible in $\mathrm{M}_n(S)$, is $\det A$ invertible in S? The answer is yes, i.e. the following results hold.

Theorem. *Let S be a ring, $R \subseteq S$ a subring, and assume that R is commutative. If a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is invertible in $\mathrm{M}_n(S)$, then $\det A$ is invertible in S.*

Corollary 1. *Let S be a ring, $R \subseteq S$ a subring, and assume that R is commutative. Then $\mathcal{D}(R,S) = \mathcal{R}(R,S) = RT^{-1}$, a commutative subring of S, where $T = \{t \in R \mid t^{-1} \in S\}$ and $RT^{-1} = \{rt^{-1} \mid r \in R, t \in T\}$.*

Date: August 13, 2006.

More generally, we can consider (see [2], Chapter 7) a ring homomorphism $f : R \to S$, not necessarily an imbedding. The division closure $\mathcal{D}^f(R,S)$ is the smallest subring of S containing $\operatorname{im} f$ and closed under taking inverses of elements invertible in S, i.e. $\mathcal{D}^f(R,S) = \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{im} f, S)$; similarly, the rational closure $\mathcal{R}^f(R,S) = \mathcal{R}(\operatorname{im} f, S)$.

Corollary 2. *Let $f : R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, and assume that* $\operatorname{im} f$ *is commutative. Then $\mathcal{D}^f(R,S) = \mathcal{R}^f(R,S) = (\operatorname{im} f)T^{-1}$, a commutative subring of S, where $T = \{t \in \operatorname{im} f \mid t^{-1} \in S\}$.*

This note presents a proof of the above stated results.

Preliminary considerations

A is invertible means there exists some $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(S)$ such that $AB = BA = I$. In the commutative case, this would imply $\det(A)\det(B) = 1$. But in our setting entries of B lie in an a priori non-commutative ring S, so there is no well-defined determinant of B. However, by mimicking a straightforward proof of the Cauchy-Binet formula (see e.g. [1]), of which this property of determinants is a special case, it is possible to prove that $\det(A)$ is invertible, with the inverse given by a "$\det(B)$" — a specific expansion of the $n \times n$ determinant in which all products are taken in an arbitrary but fixed order.

Notation used in the proof: $\sigma = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \in S_n$ means the permutation in S_n acting via $\sigma(t) = i_t$ for $1 \le t \le n$.

Example: case 2×2

We have that:

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For convenience, we will use d_{ij} to refer to the entries of the identity matrix. Let us compute the determinant of this identity matrix written as the product of A and B. Of course, the result will be 1. But since the entries of B are possibly non-commuting, we need to adopt a certain way of multiplying and expanding expressions involving b_{ij}. Each product occurring in the expansion of the determinant will be multiplied from left to right and in some sense "from inside out".

Let us start off with the product along the main diagonal $d_{11}d_{22}$. Take

$$1 = d_{11} = a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21}.$$

Multiply it by: a_{21} on the left and b_{12} on the right, a_{22} on the left and b_{22} on the right. Get:

$$a_{21}b_{12} = a_{21}1b_{12} = a_{21}(a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21})b_{12} = a_{21}a_{11}b_{11}b_{12} + a_{21}a_{12}b_{21}b_{12};$$
$$a_{22}b_{22} = a_{22}1b_{22} = a_{22}(a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21})b_{22} = a_{22}a_{11}b_{11}b_{22} + a_{22}a_{12}b_{21}b_{22}.$$

Summing these up, we obtain $1 = d_{11}d_{22}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} = a_{21}1b_{12} + a_{22}1b_{22} \\ &= a_{21}a_{11}b_{11}b_{12} + a_{21}a_{12}b_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}a_{11}b_{11}b_{22} + a_{22}a_{12}b_{21}b_{22} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{2j}a_{1i}b_{i1}b_{j2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{1i}a_{2j}b_{i1}b_{j2}, \end{aligned}$$

since the entries of A commute with each other.

Next, let us evaluate the product along the other diagonal in a similar fashion. Take

$$0 = d_{21} = a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21}.$$

Multiply it by: a_{11} on the left and b_{12} on the right, a_{12} on the left and b_{22} on the right. Get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = a_{11}0b_{12} &= a_{11}(a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21})b_{12} = a_{11}a_{21}b_{11}b_{12} + a_{11}a_{22}b_{21}b_{12}; \\ 0 = a_{12}0b_{22} &= a_{12}(a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21})b_{22} = a_{12}a_{21}b_{11}b_{22} + a_{11}a_{22}b_{21}b_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these up, we obtain $0 = d_{21}d_{12}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_{11}0b_{12} + a_{12}0b_{22} \\ &= a_{11}a_{21}b_{11}b_{12} + a_{11}a_{22}b_{21}b_{12} + a_{12}a_{21}b_{11}b_{22} + a_{11}a_{22}b_{21}b_{22} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{1j}a_{2i}b_{i1}b_{j2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the determinant of the identity matrix is $1 = d_{11}d_{22} - d_{21}d_{12}$ written as:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{1i}a_{2j}b_{i1}b_{j2} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{1j}a_{2i}b_{i1}b_{j2} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} (a_{1i}a_{2j} - a_{1j}a_{2i})b_{i1}b_{j2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1i} & a_{1j} \\ a_{2i} & a_{2j} \end{vmatrix} b_{i1}b_{j2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\begin{vmatrix} a_{1i} & a_{1j} \\ a_{2i} & a_{2j} \end{vmatrix}$ equals zero if $i = j$, so the corresponding terms vanish. And when i and j are distinct, this is $\det(A)$ up to the sign. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1i} & a_{1j} \\ a_{2i} & a_{2j} \end{vmatrix} b_{i1}b_{j2} = \sum_{\sigma=\{i,j\}\in S_2} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\det(A)b_{i1}b_{j2} \\ &= \det(A) \cdot \sum_{\sigma=\{i,j\}\in S_2} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)b_{i1}b_{j2}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\det(A)$ is invertible from the right. Similarly from the other side.

THE GENERAL PROOF

We have that:

$$AB = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the sample 2×2 case, let us compute the determinant of this identity matrix written as the product of A and B. We will multiply each term in the expansion of the determinant from left to right, i.e.

$$1 = \sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\dots,i_n\}\in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)d_{i_1 1}\cdots d_{i_n n}.$$

Start with

$$d_{i_1 1} = \sum_{k_1=1}^{n} a_{i_1 k_1} b_{k_1 1},$$

which is either 0 or 1. In either case,

$$d_{i_2 2} = \sum_{k_2=1}^{n} a_{i_2 k_2} b_{k_2 2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$d_{i_1 1} d_{i_2 2} = \sum_{k_2=1}^{n} a_{i_2 k_2} d_{i_1 1} b_{k_2 2} = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{n} a_{i_2 k_2} a_{i_1 k_1} b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2}.$$

Proceeding in this fashion, we get

$$d_{i_1 1} d_{i_2 2} \cdots d_{i_n n} = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n=1}^{n} a_{i_n k_n} \cdots a_{i_2 k_2} a_{i_1 k_1} b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n}.$$

Now, the determinant of the identity matrix can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) d_{i_1 1} \cdots d_{i_n n} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n=1}^{n} a_{i_n k_n} \cdots a_{i_2 k_2} a_{i_1 k_1} b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n} \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{i_n k_n} \cdots a_{i_2 k_2} a_{i_1 k_1} \right) b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n} \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{i_1 k_1} a_{i_2 k_2} \cdots a_{i_n k_n} \right) b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n},
\end{aligned}$$

since the entries of A commute with each other.

Note that the expression in parentheses is precisely the determinant of the matrix whose columns, say from left to right, are the columns $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$ of the matrix A. If not all $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$ are distinct, such a determinant is zero. And when they are distinct, this is $\det(A)$ up to the sign. So we can continue:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \cdots \\
&= \sum_{\tau=\{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n\} \in S_n} \left(\sum_{\sigma=\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{i_1 k_1} a_{i_2 k_2} \cdots a_{i_n k_n} \right) b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n} \\
&= \sum_{\tau=\{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \det(A) b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n} \\
&= \det(A) \cdot \sum_{\tau=\{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n\} \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_n n}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\det(A)$ is invertible from the right. Similarly from the other side.

Some consequences

For brevity, set $s = (\det A)^{-1}$. Note that while all entries of A lie in the commutative ring R, and of course so does $\det(A)$, s does not have to be in R.

Recall that $A \cdot \operatorname{adj} A = \operatorname{adj} A \cdot A = (\det A)I$, where $\operatorname{adj} A$ is the adjoint matrix of A. Multiplying this from one or the other side by B and then by s, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot \operatorname{adj} A = (\det A)I \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{adj} A = B(\det A) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad (\operatorname{adj} A)s = B; \\ \operatorname{adj} A \cdot A = (\det A)I \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{adj} A = (\det A)B \quad \Longrightarrow \quad s(\operatorname{adj} A) = B; \end{aligned}$$

which is the standard formula for the inverse matrix. This shows that the entries of B lie in RT^{-1}, where $T = \{t \in R \mid t^{-1} \in S\}$, and it is easy to see that RT^{-1} is a commutative subring of S.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Peter Linnell for bringing this question to my attention, and for his help and advice during my work.

References

[1] *Cauchy-Binet formula*, `http://planetmath.org/encyclopedia/CauchyBinetFormula.html`
[2] P. M. Cohn, *Free rings and their relations*, Academic Press, 1985.
[3] Peter Linnell, *Noncommutative localization in group rings*, in *Noncommutative Localization in Algebra and Topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **(330)**, pp. 40-59, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech
E-mail address: `mgrinshp@math.vt.edu`